

ҚАЗАҚСТАН РЕСПУБЛИКАСЫ БІЛІМ ЖӘНЕ ФЫЛЫМ МИНИСТРЛІГІ  
АХМЕТ БАЙТҰРСЫНҰЛЫ АТЫНДАҒЫ ҚОСТАНАЙ ӨНІРЛІК УНИВЕРСИТЕТИ  
Ө. СҮЛТАНГАЗИН АТЫНДАҒЫ ПЕДАГОГИКАЛЫҚ ИНСТИТУТЫ



Қостанай мемлекеттік педагогикалық институтының құрметті профессоры,  
биология ғылымдарының докторы Т.М. Брагинаның мерейтойына арналған  
**БИОЛОГИЯЛЫҚ ӘРТҮРЛІЛІКТІ САҚТАУ ЖӘНЕ ЕРЕКШЕ  
ҚОРҒАЛАТЫН ТАБИФИ АУМАҚТАР ЖЕЛЕСІН ДАМЫТУ** атты  
ХАЛЫҚАРАЛЫҚ ҒЫЛЫМИ-ПРАКТИКАЛЫҚ КОНФЕРЕНЦИЯНЫҢ  
**МАТЕРИАЛДАРЫ**



**МАТЕРИАЛЫ**  
МЕЖДУНАРОДНОЙ НАУЧНО-ПРАКТИЧЕСКОЙ КОНФЕРЕНЦИИ  
**СОХРАНЕНИЕ БИОЛОГИЧЕСКОГО РАЗНООБРАЗИЯ И РАЗВИТИЕ СЕТИ  
ОСОБО ОХРАНЯЕМЫХ ПРИРОДНЫХ ТЕРРИТОРИЙ,**  
посвященной юбилею почетного профессора Костанайского государственного  
педагогического института, доктора биологических наук Т.М. Брагиной



**PROCEEDINGS**  
OF THE INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH AND TRAINING CONFERENCE  
**«CONSERVATION OF BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY AND DEVELOPMENT  
OF THE NETWORK OF SPECIALLY PROTECTED NATURAL AREAS»,**  
dedicated to the anniversary of the honorary professor of the Kostanay  
state pedagogical institute, doctor of biological sciences T.M. Bragina

Қостанай 2024

**УДК 502.17  
ББК 20.18  
Қ 68**

## **РЕДАКЦИЯ АЛҚАСЫ / РЕДАКЦИОННАЯ КОЛЛЕГИЯ**

### **Жауапты редакторлары:**

**Куанышбаев С.Б.**, доктор географических наук, член Академии педагогических наук Казахстана

**Брагина Т.М.**, доктор биологических наук, профессор

**Исакаев Е.М.**, кандидат биологических наук

**Жарлыгасов Ж.Б.**, кандидат сельскохозяйственных наук, доцент

**Есиркепова К.К.**, кандидат педагогических наук, профессор

**Коваль А.П.**, кандидат экономических наук

### **Редакция алқасының мүшелері**

**Баубекова Г.К.**, магистр педагогических наук; **Баймагамбетова К.Т.** магистр туризма, **Божекенова Ж.Т.**, магистр биологии; **Рұлєва М.М.**, магистр биологии; **Кожымухаметова А.С.**, магистр биологии; **Ручкина Г.А.**, к.б.н., ассоциированный профессор

**Қ 68** Костанай мемлекеттік педагогикалық институтының құрметті профессоры, биология ғылымдарының докторы Т.М. Брагинаның мерейтойына арналған Биологиялық әртүрлілікті сақтау және ерекше қорғалатын табиғи аумақтар желісін дамыту атты халықаралық ғылыми-практикалық конференцияның материалдары (Казақстан Республикасы, Костанай қ., 2024 жылдың 26 ақпан) / ғылыми редакторлары: С.Б. Куанышбаев, Т.М. Брагина. – Костанай: Ахмет Байтұрсынұлы атындағы ҚӨУ, 2024. – 413 с.

Сохранение биологического разнообразия и развитие сети особо охраняемых природных территорий: Материалы междунар. научно-практ. конференции (26 февраля 2024 г., г. Костанай, Казахстан), посвященной юбилею почетного профессора КГПИ, д.б.н. Т.М. Брагиной / научн. редакторы: С.Б. Куанышбаев, Т.М. Брагина. – Костанай: КРУ имени Ахмет Байтұрсынұлы, 2024. – 413 с.

Conservation of biological diversity and development of the network of specially protected natural areas: Proceedings of the International research and training conference (February 26, 2024, Kostanay, Kazakhstan) dedicated to the anniversary of the honorary professor of the Kostanay State Pedagogical Institute, T.M. Bragina Dr. Sci. (Biol.) / science editors S.B. Kuanyshbayev, T.M. Bragina. – Kostanay: Akhmet Baitursynuly KRU, 2024 – 413 p.

**ISBN 978-601-356-339-8**

В сборнике опубликованы материалы Международной научно-практической конференции «Сохранение биологического разнообразия и развитие сети особо охраняемых природных территорий», посвященной юбилею почетного профессора Костанайского государственного педагогического института, доктора биологических наук Т.М. Брагиной. В докладах рассмотрены итоги исследований и перспективы сохранения биологического разнообразия, охраны природных территорий и популяций видов особого природоохранного значения, формирования экологической сети и вопросы интеграции природоохранной деятельности и образования. Книга предназначена для ученых и практиков, работающих в области изучения и сохранения биологического разнообразия, преподавателей вузов, аспирантов, студентов, работников природоохранных учреждений.

**УДК 502.17  
ББК 20.18**

Утверждено и рекомендовано к изданию Ученым советом Костанайского регионального университета имени Ахмет Байтұрсынұлы» от 31.01.2024 г., протокол № 2.

**ISBN 978-601-356-339-8**



© Костанайский региональный университет имени Ахмет Байтұрсынұлы, 2024  
© Научно-исследовательский центр проблем экологии и биологии, 2024

9 78601 3 56339 8

*За достоверность предоставленных в сборнике сведений и использованной  
научной терминологии ответственность несут авторы статей  
На обложке: фото Т.М. Брагиной*

**ПЛЕНАРЛЫҚ БАЯНДАМАЛАР.  
ЕРЕКШЕ КОРГАЛАТЫН ТАБИГИ  
АУМАКТАР ЖЕЛІСІН ДАМЫТУ**

---

**ПЛЕНАРНЫЕ ДОКЛАДЫ.  
РАЗВИТИЕ СЕТИ ОСОБО ОХРАНЯЕМЫХ  
ПРИРОДНЫХ ТЕРРИТОРИЙ**

---

**PLENARY SESSION.  
DEVELOPMENT OF THE NETWORK  
OF SPECIALLY PROTECTED AREAS**

20. Schmutz, J.K. and Fyfe, R.W. (1987). Migration and mortality of Alberta Ferruginous Hawks. *The Condor* 89:169.
21. Sillett, T.S. and Holmes, R.T. (2002). Variation in survivorship of a migratory songbird throughout its annual cycle. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 71:296–308.
22. Spatz, T., Katzenberger, J., Friess, N., Gelpke, C., Gottschalk, E., Hormann, M., Koschkar, S., Pfeiffer, T., Stübing, S., Sudfeldt, C. Rösner, S., Schabo, D.G. and Farwig, N. (2022). Sex, landscape diversity and primary productivity shape the seasonal space use of a migratory European raptor. *Journal of Avian Biology* 10: p.e02925.
23. van Beest, F.M., Vander Wal, E., Stronen, A.V., Paquet, P.C. and Brook, R.K. (2013). Temporal variation in site fidelity: scale-dependent effects of forage abundance and predation risk in a non-migratory large herbivore. *Oecologia* 173:409–420.
24. Wood, S.N., Pya, N. and Säfken, B. (2016). Smoothing parameter and model selection for general smooth models. *Journal of American Statistical Association* 111:1548–1563.

## **CONSERVATION AT A CROSS-ROADS**

*Сохранение на перекрестках*

**Kenward R.**

*European Sustainable Use Group, rue de la Science 10, B-1000 Brussels, Belgium*  
*e-mail: reke@ceh.ac.uk*

**Андратпа.** Табигатты қорғаудың екі көрінісі биоалуантүрлік туралы конвенциядан (БҰҰ КБР) келді. Куньмин-Монреаль 2030 жылға қарай жердің 30% - қорғауды ұсынады ("30x30" тәмендеу тәсілін қолдану арқылы). Биоалуантүрлік және Экожүйелік қызметтер жөніндегі үкіметаралық ғылыми-саяси платформа (МПБЭУ) Біріккен Ұлттар Ұйымының Тұрақты даму мақсаттарына қол жеткізу үшін адамзаттың үштен бірінің жабайы тірі ресурстарға тәуелділігін пайдалануды ұсынады. Бұл идеялардың шектен шығуы "архологиялық жер" және "жер-бак" планетасының болашағына әкелуі мүмкін екендігі атап өтілді. "Теменнен жоғары" тәсіл үшін автоматтандырылған нұсқаулықты пайдалану табигатты сақтау үшін де, кеңірек басқару үшін де қанағаттанарлық болуы мүмкін.

**Түйінді сөздер:** сақтау, басқару, шешім қабылдауды қолдау, Жер Архологиясы, Жер-Бак.

**Аннотация.** Два виdения сохранения пришли из Конвенции по биоразнообразию (КБР ООН). Куньмин-Монреаль рекомендует защитить 30% земель к 2030 году (навязывая нисходящий подход «30x30»). Межправительственная научно-политическая платформа по биоразнообразию и экосистемным услугам (МПБЭУ) предлагает использовать зависимость почти трети человечества от диких живых ресурсов для достижения Целей устойчивого развития Организации Объединенных Наций. Отмечается, что доведение этих представлений до крайности может привести к будущему планеты «Архологическая Земля» и «Земля-сад». Использование автоматизированного руководства для подхода «снизу вверх» может быть более удовлетворительным как для сохранения природы, так и для более широкого управления.

**Ключевые слова:** сохранение, управление, поддержка принятия решений, Архология Земли, Земля – Сад.

**Abstract.** Two visions of conservation have come from the UN's CBD. Kunming-Montreal recommends protection for 30% of the earths land by 2030 (imposing a top-down '30x30' approach). IPBES proposes that the dependence of close to a third of humans on wild living resources should be used to help achieve the Sustainable Development Goals of the United Nations. It is noted that taking these visions to extremes could result in 'Archology Earth' and 'Garden Earth' futures for the planet. Using automated guidance for a bottom-up approach may be more satisfactory both for conservation and governance more widely.

**Key words:** Conservation, governance, decision-support, Arcology Earth, Garden Earth.

Before the development of agriculture, humans lived in families, clans, and larger tribes. Although forensic study of human remains provides evidence of lethal force between individuals throughout prehistory [1], governance that presumably involved local leadership (as in other primates) was adequate for species survival. Humankind endured until the increasing food supply from farming in fertile areas permitted large human settlements, leading to city-states, kingdoms and, in the last three millennia, to empires.

Larger aggregations involved many layers of governance vertically. Such vertical layers may have contributed to local stability, at least while horizontal communications on foot and horseback remained slow. Although imperial ambitions have created large-scale conflicts for several millennia, engendering mass-enslavement and genocide, ancient empires endured for generations. Rapid communication along rails, telegraph wires and by air has been associated with devastating global conflicts and the short-lived empires of the 20<sup>th</sup> century. To address the horrors of modern warfare, the nations and empires of the day came together in Paris in 1920, after the First World War, to create the League of Nations. The United Nations was created in 1945 after the League of Nations had failed to prevent the Second World War.

On issues for which actions are likely to benefit all parties (win-win situations), such as the global environment or human health, decisions made by global consensus of nation states can provide effective governance. The 1992 Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) seems to be an example. On zero-sum issues (win-lose) and especially where factions form, global governance is less effective. Factional politics, enhanced by the rapid communication and tools to amplify opinions on the internet, also complicates vertical governance.

Sadly, despite a number of Conventions agreeing relatively easily at global level to safeguard the environment, including also the Conventions on International Trade in Endangered Species (CITES) and on Conservation of Migratory Species (CMS), the global environment remains under severe pressures. One reason for this is that, although governments may agree to legislation when working in English as a global language, informed by science-based institutions at global level and pressured by international NGOs, attempts to implement change at national or regional level often discover that local communities do not see things the same way.

At the 10<sup>th</sup> conference of Parties (CoP) in Nagoya, CBD parties created the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services (IPBES), to serve for conservation of biodiversity in a similar way to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). In 2022, IPBES produced a different, more bottom-up approach to that taken by the 15<sup>th</sup> CoP of CBD, managed by Kunming and hosted in Montreal. Let's look at those decisions, but first let's look more closely at CBD.

#### *The Convention on Biological Diversity [2]*

The three objectives of CBD, to which 196 countries are signatories, are “the conservation of biological diversity, the sustainable use of its components and the fair and equitable sharing of the benefits arising out of the utilization of genetic resources”. Article 8, ‘in-situ conservation’. is the longest in the Convention, with 13 sections which require *inter alia* “a system of protected areas” and to “promote the protection of ecosystems, natural habitats and the maintenance of viable populations of species in their natural surroundings” and “develop or maintain necessary legislation and/or regulatory provisions for the protection of threatened species or populations”. Article 9 then requires parties to “establish and maintain facilities for ex-situ conservation” and “adopt measures for the recovery and rehabilitation of threatened species and for their reintroduction into their natural habitats”.

CBD defines sustainable use, in Article 2, as “the use of components of biodiversity in a way and at a rate that does not lead to the long-term decline of biological diversity, thereby maintaining its potential to meet the needs and aspirations of present and future generations” and devotes two Articles to such use. In particular, “each Contracting Party shall, as far as possible and as

appropriate” in Article 10 “Protect and encourage customary use of biological resources in accordance with traditional cultural practices that are compatible with conservation or sustainable use requirements” and in Article 11 “adopt economically and socially sound measures that act as incentives for the conservation and sustainable use of components of biological diversity”. CBD also places responsibilities on parties for sustainable use in a further 8 of 19 substantive Articles, through international cooperation (Article 5), integration into sectoral and cross-sectoral plans and policies (6), identification and monitoring (7), regulation within or outside protected areas (8), research and training (12), education and public awareness (13), technology transfer (16) and information exchange (17).

After Article 14 on ‘Impact Assessment and Minimizing Adverse Impacts’, the remaining substantive Articles (15-19) broadly cover access to genetic resources and benefit sharing. Despite the similar attention paid to each of the three objectives within the text of CBD, regulations for conserving biodiversity have focussed more on protection of areas and of species, including ex-situ conservation of species and environmental impact assessments, and less on sustainable use and benefit-sharing. To raise awareness of the conservation potential from sustainable use, in 2004 CBD adopted the Addis Ababa Principles and Guidelines for Sustainable Use (AAPG). Similar hopes for implementation of CBD’s 3<sup>rd</sup> pillar saw agreement in 2010 on Access to Genetic Resources and the Fair and Equitable Sharing of Benefits Arising from their Utilization.

This 2010 agreement, also known as the Nagoya Protocol joined the creation of IPBES as another major decision from the CBD’s 10<sup>th</sup> Conference of Parties. IPBES has taken a strong interest in Indigenous People and Local Communities (IPLCs) and their Indigenous and Local Knowledge (ILK), together with other bottom-up considerations. On that basis, a five-year process led, in July 2022, to the Assessment Report on the Sustainable Use of Wild Species[3]. Then, after a delay and change of venue due to Covid-19, in December 2022 came the adoption by CBD’s 15<sup>th</sup> Conference of Parties of the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework[4] which set 23 targets for protection and restoration of biodiversity. These are considered further here, after summarising the activities of IUCN’s Sustainable Use Groups and, in particular, of the European Sustainable Use Group (ESUG) during the period concerned.

#### *Struggling for recognition of Conservation through Sustainable Use*

IUCN, the International Union for Conservation of Nature ([www.iucn.org](http://www.iucn.org)), was created in 1948 to bring together states (of which there are now 86 members) and non-government organisations (now >1,250 NGOs including 58 affiliates and 27 indigenous groups). Together with hundreds of staff and 16,000 volunteer experts organised in seven Commissions, these work to fulfil a programme of work set by the World Conservation Congress each quadrennium. Two large groups, of 650-850 members, work on sustainable use of wild species and the ecosystems on which those species depend. A group on Sustainable Use and Livelihoods (SULi, established 2011) bridges two Commissions, on Species Survival (SSC) and Environmental Economic and Social Policy (CEESP), while a group on Sustainable Use and Management of Ecosystems (SUME, established 2014) is in the Commission on Ecosystem Management (CEM). The European Sustainable Use Group (ESUG, <https://esug.sycl.net>), formerly a region in global Sustainable Use Specialist Group (SUSG, 1997) of SSC, was constituted in 2002 as an NGO to manage its own project finances and continues, as an IUCN member, to support SULi & SUME.

Whereas SULi and SUME are open to any applicants with adequate academic or management experience, the 137 ESUG members in 58 countries worldwide are invited to help run project work, typically as country coordinators. ESUG has run several projects for UNEP, the European Union, IUCN and the International Association for Falconry and Conservation of Birds of Prey (IAF). IUCN itself was responsible for the processes that started CBD, CMS and the European Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats (Bern Convention), as well as the Ecosystem Approach and AAPG within CBD.

In 2002, ESU(S)G (then a specialist group of IUCN) was invited by Bern Convention to prepare a paper on “Innovative approaches to sustainable use of biodiversity and landscape in the farmed countryside”[5] for a UNEP conference. The paper pointed to the potential of certifying food products for conservation purposes and to the potential for internet-based decision-support for improving farm incomes through sustainable use of wild species, as a supplement (or alternative) to the controversial food-production subsidies of that time. The work with Bern Convention led also to adoption by the Bern Convention of European Charters on the potential for biodiversity conservation from Hunting (2007), from Recreational Fishing (2011) and from Gathering Fungi (2014). Prepared by Scott Brainerd of ESUG, all are accessible at <https://esug.sycl.net/13/work-on-conserving-by-using>. A charter is a document that agrees responsibility of government towards citizens, effectively conferring rights, as well as responsibility of citizens. Thus, the Bern Charters not only have guidelines for hunters, anglers and gatherers but also for regulators, with the intention that regulations encourage those who benefit from sustainable use of biodiversity to enhance the conservation of biodiversity. In effect, charters encourage positive actions taken at local level rather than just protecting against negatives. They favour “do” at least as much as “don’t”, with bottom-up as much as top-down.

In turn, these projects stimulated successful bids for European Union projects. The first, on Governance and Ecosystem Management for Conservation of Biodiversity (GEMCONBIO, 2006-8), showed across 32 case studies the over-riding importance for conservation (and for sustainability of using ecosystem services) of leadership with expert knowledge and the application of adaptive management [6]. Empowering local people for adaptive management were main recommendations in CBD’s Ecosystem Approach and AAPG, because local flexibility is needed to handle the socio-environmental complexity of maintaining sustainable use. The second project confirmed a GEMCONBIO estimation, that private spending on ecosystem-based activities exceeded €60 billion/year and was thus greater than agricultural subsidies which, at that time, were just starting to introduce a second pillar intended to benefit biodiversity through what became known as Payments for Ecosystem Services (in this case Public PES). The main thrust of the second project, TESS (2008-11), was to design an online Transactional Environmental Support System, TESS [7].

The rationale behind TESS was reported in Moscow in 2009 [8]. It was felt that top-down regulation and subsidised production lacked flexibility, even given varying local land conditions and topography, for cultured landscapes diverse enough to enhance biodiversity. This view was supported by drastic declines in flora and fauna especially on farmland. An SUSG vision of the time was for a “biodiversity friendly mosaic of land uses driven by the livelihoods that are derived from sustainable use of wild living resources, instead of landscapes with small islands of biodiversity in a sea of agriculture” [9]. Managers of land and species were found to be making a myriad individual decisions which added up to change local environments. However, all the decisions were channelled by the same regulatory envelope and the same economic drivers: public subsidy and supermarkets. As foreseen in CBD’s Ecosystem Approach and AAPG, local communities need to be empowered, as well as enlightened and guided to manage the environment, but also, where necessary, motivated by adaptive governance and private payment for ecosystem services. TESS therefore proposed that:

- central planners can collate complex knowledge and incentives to assist local decisions;
- they need local information to monitor and adapt their knowledge and incentives policy;
- local managers must also gather local information to make and monitor their decisions;
- they can exchange local information for more complex knowledge that benefits livelihoods.

TESS partners used local studies to find what information government at the lowest level (in effect IPLCs) needed for planning and what information local people could provide. Local communities wanted more detailed maps of species/habitats than were available and much more

information on likely socio-economic consequences of decisions. Local people were very good at providing detailed maps and enjoyed it when given good guidance. The principle of exchanging local knowledge for guidance from higher level, in a virtuous cycle for local adaptive management while higher levels could use knowledge of local decisions to optimise adaptive governance, is also probably sound. However, a TESS would need to be used almost universally by managers of land and species to give the coverage needed by central planners. The huge volume of local-centre exchanges would need to be online because there are simply not enough experts. A TESS would work only if it met social requirements, by being not merely user-friendly and user-attractive but also socially integrated. A follow-on project to investigate social motivations by building and scaling up a system was considered too ambitious to be funded at the time.

Nevertheless, TESS partners had devised a way to speed uptake of an eventual environmental support system, by getting people across Europe thinking of the community-based mapping that it would require, and by surveying them about what the resulting system needed to do. For this a website was launched in 23 languages – [www.naturalliance.eu](http://www.naturalliance.eu) – which still attracts about 5000 visitors a year. In the early years of Naturalliance there were nearly as many visits in Russian as in English. CMS, IAF and BirdLife International then worked with ESUG to launch [www.sakernet.org](http://www.sakernet.org) for information and survey in five Asian languages, again with English and Russian the most popular. This 2015 site rapidly broke visitor targets, so IAF commissioned the first true network, in which a hub in 18 languages linked to satellites in national languages to promote restoration of farm biodiversity with the grey partridge (*Perdix perdix*) as its flagship. However, the [www.perdixnet.org](http://www.perdixnet.org) network of 2017 attracted only 4 managers to run satellites and fewer visitors than the previous network, which was also upgraded. Then, in April 2019, [www.naturalliance.org](http://www.naturalliance.org) was launched for IUCN, as a hub to network in 54 languages with local communities worldwide. There are already 43 languages and 38 satellites.

In 2021, colleagues in Greece – who had organised GEMCONBIO and TESS – created a sixth bid for a TESS to up-scale successful conservation work from farm and community level to landscapes and regions. Work in UK had already showed how networks of farmers were an excellent basis for such up-scaling [10], but only two of nearly 100 bids were funded. However, a follow-on bid with 20 partner organisations in 2022 was successful. A PROactive approach for COmmunities to enAble Societal Transformation) launched in November 2023 to run for three years, coordinated by ESUG. PRO-COAST will research how to create Transition Communities by addressing motivations for nature conservation based on human culture and diversity considerations. It will start projects in nine coastal areas of Europe, with motivations based mainly in sustainable use (of cultural and productive services from wild species and their ecosystems), with preparations for low-cost roll-out online. The work will include more satellite-site facilities in local languages. It will also build web-services, to deliver decision support for conservation through sustainable use in exchange for local data used to make the decisions. The work of ESUG therefore continues, but what about international attitudes to sustainable use?

#### *An IPBES Assessment and the Kunming-Montreal Process*

Released in Bonn at the 9<sup>th</sup> Plenary of IPBES in July 2022, the Assessment Report on Sustainable Use of Wild Species has 1148 pages. A 34-page “Summary for Policymakers” immediately makes clear that billions of people in all regions of the world rely on and benefit from using more than 70,000 wild species for food, medicine, energy, income, and many other purposes, notably as fuel, for 2.1 of the 8 billion humans. More than 1 person in 3 relies on wild species overall, including 70% of the world’s poorest people. In richer countries, wild species contribute to food variety, health, and recreation, while nourishment comes mainly from farming. People everywhere depend on nature for healthy air and water, and hence on healthy ecosystems. Farming is essential to feed the world. However, cultivation must not become so intensive that it damages the ability of ecosystems generally to keep us healthy.

Our use of wild species is broadly sustainable for gathering and recreational hunting on land. It is less so when we depend on wild animal species for food, especially where farms and other developments convert so much land that ecosystems support less wildlife. The sustainability of some marine fisheries became low but is improving after a period of excessive use which caused fish stocks to decline. Mapping such engagement to the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) indicates that increased sustainability and efficiency in use of wild species resources could improve effectiveness, by 40-80%, for 11 of the 17 SDGs. Billions of local people need help with this through adaptive management and flexible governance.

In the Kunming-Montreal process of December 2022, the third of 23 targets is to “Ensure and enable that by 2030 at least 30 per cent of terrestrial and inland water areas, and of marine and coastal areas, especially areas of particular importance for biodiversity and ecosystem functions and services, are effectively conserved and managed through ecologically representative, well-connected and equitably governed systems of protected areas and other effective area-based conservation measures, recognizing indigenous and traditional territories, where applicable, and integrated into wider landscapes, seascapes and the ocean, while ensuring that any sustainable use, where appropriate in such areas, is fully consistent with conservation outcomes, recognizing and respecting the rights of indigenous peoples and local communities, including over their traditional territories.” This gives, in effect, tolerance of sustainable use in conservation areas covering double the previous targets for land and water, although it does recognise “other effective area-based conservation measures” (OECMs) which are taken to include areas in which conservation is motivated and managed through sustainable use activities.

It seems so much easier to implement conservation through protection than through sustainable use. Sustainable use needs skilful local management, with lots of positive actions to maintain and restore species and habitats. Strict protection can be especially attractive for governments: why bother with lots of little regulations and incentives when one signature, a line on a map and few legal convictions will suffice? For organisations representing extractive users of wild resources, continual spending is needed on educating practitioners and encouraging them in activities that benefit the public and biodiversity. In contrast, a protection organisation needs only a couple of big donors to fund a campaign at a time when practitioner reputations are low.

However, those who see ever-increasing protection as the best solution need to keep their minds open. For a start, people need food and, even in wealthy countries, rural people need jobs. The actions of local people in rural communities not only affect their environment but also have an effect at the ballot box, with the ability to swing elections if there seems little to choose between political factions in other respects. Rural communities can also be the worst affected by changing climate.

Scientists are increasingly running assessments of future scenarios. One idea is a “Garden Earth” in which strictly protected areas remain extensive enough to maintain good populations of species that are most at risk from humans and cultivation, while most other land is subject to other effective area-based conservation measures, include sustainable use to promote diversity wherever possible. A very different alternative is a strict division of the earth’s surface into as much protected area as possible but otherwise intensive cultivation or other exploitation. In this case, costs of living rurally will be made unaffordable by limited supply of housing in protected areas or alternatively by health problems from intensive agriculture in the cultivated areas. This could well lead to extreme urbanisation or most efficiently, and perhaps further motivated by climate change, to increasingly enclosed urban systems as an “Arcology Earth”. One wonders which scenario would preserve biodiversity best. Who, on average, will pay most to secure biodiversity? Those who live among it and benefit from it daily, or those who have never come to appreciate it?

### **Acknowledgement**

This work was cofunded by the European Community's Horizon Programme: Project 101082327 – PRO-COAST – HORIZON-CL6-2022-BIODIV-01. Early drafts were much improved by comments from Julie Ewald and Ben Kenward.

### **List of literature:**

1. Harari, Y.N. 2014. *Sapiens, a brief history of humankind*. Harvill Secker, London, UK.
2. UNEP. 1992. Convention on Biological Diversity. United Nations Environment Programme <https://www.cbd.int/doc/legal/cbd-en.pdf>.
3. Fromentin, J.-M., Emery, J., Donaldson, J. Danner, M.-C., Hallosserie, A., Kieling, D., Ballachandar, G., Barrad, E., Chaudhary, R., Gasalla, M., Halmy, M., Hicks, C., Park, M., Parlee, B., Rice, J., Ticktin, T. & Tittensor, D. 2022. Summary for policymakers of the thematic assessment of the sustainable use of wild species. Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity & Ecosystem Services /9/4/Add.1 <https://www.ipbes.net/sustainable-use-assessment>.
4. UNEP. 2022. Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework. Convention on Biological Diversity DEC/15/4 <https://www.cbd.int/doc/decisions/cop-15/cop-15-dec-04-en.pdf>.
5. Kenward, R.E. & Garcia Cidad, V. 2002. Innovative approaches to sustainable use of biodiversity and landscape in the farmed countryside. UNEP High-Level Conference on Agriculture and Biodiversity [http://nature.coe.int/conf\\_agri\\_2002/agri16erev.01.doc](http://nature.coe.int/conf_agri_2002/agri16erev.01.doc).
6. Kenward, R.E., Whittingham, M.J., Arampatzis, S., Manos, B., Hahn, T., Terry, A., Simoncini, R., Alcorn, J., Bastian, O., Donlan, M., Elowe, K., Franzén, F., Karacsonyi, Z., Larsson, M., Manou, D., Navodaru, I., Papadopoulou, O., Papathanasiou, J., von Raggamby, A., Sharp, R., Söderqvist, T., Soutukorva, Å., Vavrova, L., Aebischer, N. J., Leader-Williams, N. & Rutz, C. 2011. Identifying governance strategies that support biodiversity, ecosystem services and resource sustainability. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 108: 5308–5312.
7. Kenward, R.E., Papathanasiou, J., Arampatzis, E. & Manos, B.A. (eds) 2013. Transactional Environmental Support System Design: Global Solutions. IGI-Global, Hershey, Pennsylvania, USA.
8. Kenward, R., Sharp, R., Manos, B., Arampatzis, S., Brainerd, S., Lecocq, Y., Wollscheid, K. & Reimoser F. 2009. Conservation from use of biodiversity and ecosystem services. Pp. 68-83 in Skrynnik, Y., Bendersky, E., Lecocq, Y., Melnikov, V., Petrikov, A., Sitsko, A., Fertikov, V. & Schramm, D. XXIX International Union of Game Biologists Congress. Ministry of Agriculture of the Russian Federation, Moscow, Russia.
9. Hutton, J.M. & Leader-Williams, N. 2003. Sustainable use and incentive-driven conservation: realigning human and conservation interests. *Oryx* 37: 215-226.
10. Dent 2018. Making the most of private stewardship for conservation – a voluntary landscape approach. pp. 111-8 in Advances in Conservation Through Sustainable Use of Wildlife. University of Queensland, Australia.

### **ПЕРВАЯ ДОСТОВЕРНАЯ ФИКСАЦИЯ ИСЧЕЗНОВЕНИЯ ЭНДЕМИЧНОГО ВИДА ЖУЖЕЛИЦ (COLEOPTERA, CARABIDAE) НА ВЕРШИНЕ ЮЖНОГО УРАЛА**

***The first reliable detection of endemic carabid species extinction (Coleoptera, Carabidae)  
in the summit of the South Urals***

**Михайлов Ю.Е.**

*Уральский государственный лесотехнический университет, г. Екатеринбург, Россия  
e-mail: yut\_66@mail.ru*

**Андратпа.** Климаттың өзгеруі бойынша Үкіметаралық экспертер тобының мәліметтері бойынша, қазіргі жаһандық жылыну нәтижесінде эндемикалық тау түрлерінің 84% дейін жойылып кету қаупі төніп түр, олардың таралу аймақтары азайып келеді. Оңтүстік Оралда жабық ормандардың шекарасын жоғарылату соңғы 50 жылда Қызыл Тағанай шыңының тундра қауымдастырының аумағын екі есеге азайтты. Осы шыңдағы герпетобионтты артроподтардың көпжылдық мониторингі

## МАЗМҰНЫ & СОДЕРЖАНИЕ & CONTENTS

<b>А. Байтұрсынұлы атындағы Қостанай өнірлік университетінің Басқарма Төрагасы-Ректоры, С. Б. Куанышбаевтың құттықтау сөзі</b>	3
--	---

Приветственное слово на открытии конференции председателя Правления-Ректора Костанайского регионального университета имени А. Байтурсынулы С.Б. Куанышбаяева  
*Chairperson of the Board-Rector of Akhmet Baitursynuly Kostanay Regional University S.B. Kuanyshbayev's welcome words to the opening of the Conference*

### ПЛЕНАРНЫЕ ДОКЛАДЫ. ЕРЕКШЕ КОРГАЛАТЫН ТАБИГИ АУМАКТАР ЖЕЛІСІН ДАМЫТУ

#### ПЛЕНАРЛЫҚ БАЯНДАМАЛАР. РАЗВИТИЕ СЕТИ ОСОБО ОХРАНЯЕМЫХ ПРИРОДНЫХ ТЕРРИТОРИЙ

#### PLENARY SESSION. DEVELOPMENT OF THE NETWORK OF SPECIALLY PROTECTED AREAS

<b>Брагина Т.М.</b>	8
Наурзумская экологическая сеть (Эконет) – история создания и современный статус <i>Naurzum ecological network (Econet) – the history of creation and current status</i>	
<b>Georgia H. Isted, Robert J. Thomas, Kevin S. Warner, Matt J. Stuber, Ethan Ellsworth, Todd E. Katzner</b>	<b>16</b>
Monthly variation in home range of a steppe-dwelling raptor <i>Месячные колебания ареала обитания степного хищника</i>	
<b>Kenward R.</b>	<b>22</b>
Conservation at a cross-roads <i>Сохранение на перекрестках</i>	
<b>Михайлов Ю.Е.</b>	<b>28</b>
Первая достоверная фиксация исчезновения эндемичного вида жужелиц (Coleoptera, Carabidae) на вершине Южного Урала <i>The first reliable detection of endemic carabid species extinction (Coleoptera, Carabidae) in the summit of the South Urals</i>	
<b>Нурушев М.Ж., Нурушев А.Ж., Кәкімжан Б.М., Нурушев Д.А.</b>	<b>34</b>
О значимости Ботай-Улытаускогоnomадизма в эволюции Евразии <i>About the significance of Botai-Ulytau nomadism in the evolution of Eurasia</i>	
<b>Плохих Р.В., Несипбаев К.Б., Королева И.С.</b>	<b>38</b>
Особо охраняемые природные территории Казахстана как оазисы устойчивого туризма <i>Specially protected natural areas of Kazakhstan as sustainable tourism oases</i>	
<b>Соловьев С.А., Исакаев Е.М.</b>	<b>45</b>
Орнитофауна и население птиц ООПТ природный парк «Птичья гавань» в период карантина по коронавирусной инфекции (Covid-19) в городе Омске <i>Avifauna and ornithocomplexes of the protected area Nature park «BIRD HARBOR» during the quarantine period for coronavirus infection (COVID-19) in the city of Omsk</i>	
<b>Тарасовская Н.Е., Алиясова В.Н., Клименко М.Ю., Байбусынова А.К.</b>	<b>51</b>
Возможности использования пойменных растений в качестве сырья для заменителей чая и кофе <i>The possibilities of using of flood-plain plants as the surrogates of tea and coffee</i>	

**Тимофеенко Ю.В., Миноранский В.А.**

**57**

Колебания численности журавля-красавки (*Anthropoides virga* L.) в районе заповедника «Ростовский» и их причины

*Monitoring of the Demoiselle Crane (*Anthropoides virgo* L.) in the Rostov nature reserve and their reasons*

**ФЛОРА МЕН ӨСІМДІКТЕР ҚАУЫМДАСТЫҒЫН САҚТАУ МӘСЕЛЕЛЕРІ**

**ПРОБЛЕМЫ СОХРАНЕНИЯ ФЛОРЫ И РАСТИТЕЛЬНЫХ СООБЩЕСТВ**

**PROBLEMS OF CONSERVATION OF FLORA AND PLANT COMMUNITIES**

**Айдарханова Г.С.**

**64**

Видовое разнообразие растений в местах проведения подземных ядерных испытаний

*Biological diversity of plants at the underground nuclear testing sites*

**Алека В.П.**

**67**

Распространение дикорастущих ягодных кустарников в лесах Северного Казахстана

*Distribution of wild berry bushes in the forests of Northern Kazakhstan*

**Байтелиева А.М., Азатов Н.М.**

**71**

Биоморфы и онтогенез некоторых видов подсемейства Луковые (Allioideae), внесенных в Красную книгу Республики Казахстан

*Biomorphs and ontogenesis of some species of the onion subfamily (Allioideae), included in the Red book of the Republic of Kazakhstan*

**Брагина Т.М., Бекмағамбет М.С.**

**77**

Боярышники рода *Crataegus* l. (Rosaceae) во флоре Казахстана in-situ и ex-situ.

*Hawthorns of the genus Crataegus L. (Rosaceae) in the flora of Kazakhstan in-situ and ex-situ*

**Брагина Т.М., Соколовская Т.Н.**

**81**

Разнообразие и характеристика некоторых сортов пшеницы, культивируемых в Костанайской области

*Diversity and characteristics of some wheat varieties cultivated in the Kostanay Region*

**Джаныспаев А.Д., Иващенко А.А., Алмабек Д.М., Абидкулова К.Т.**

**86**

Редкие виды лекарственных растений Алматинского государственного заповедника и прилегающих территорий

*Rare species of medicinal plants of the Almaty state reserve and adjacent territories*

**Джиенбеков А.К., Баринова С.С., Нурашов С.Б., Веселова П.В., Саметова Э.С.**

**92**

Первые сведения о водорослях русла реки Сырдарья в Кызылординской области, Казахстан

*The first information about algae of the Syrdarya riverbed in Kyzylorda region, Kazakhstan*

**Егинбаева А.Е., Atasoy E., Қонысжан Д.Қ.**

**98**

Хромтау ауданының топырақ және өсімдік жамылғысы ерекшеліктерін негіздейтін топонимдер

*Toponyms characterizing the features of the soil and vegetation cover of the Khromtau district*

**Ермолаева О.Ю., Рогаль Л.Л.**

**104**

Редкие виды грибов и растений участка Цаган-Хак заповедника «Ростовский» (Ростовская область, Россия)

*Rare species of fungi and plants of the Tsagan-Hak site of the Rostov Nature Reserve (Rostov region, Russia)*

**Зейнелова М.А.**

**109**

Флористическое разнообразие по типам экосистем участка Терсек-Карагай Наурзумского заповедника

*Floristic variety by ecosystem types of the site Tersek-Karagay of Naurzum Reserve*

**Зейнелова М.А.**

**115**

Мониторинг биоразнообразия флоры и растительности Наурзумского заповедника

*Monitoring the biodiversity of flora and vegetation of the Naurzum Reserve*

<b>Иващенко А.А., Грудзинская Л.М., Нелина Н.В.</b>	121
Сохранение редких видов лекарственных растений Западного Тянь-Шаня в природе и культуре	
<i>Preservation of rare species of medicinal plants of the Western Tien-Shan in natural and in introduced conditions</i>	
<b>Иващенко А.А., Чаликова Е.С.</b>	126
О современном состоянии некоторых популяций Тюльпана Грейга ( <i>Tulipa greigii</i> Regel) в Южном Казахстане	
<i>About the current state of some populations of the Tulipa greigii Regel in South Kazakhstan</i>	
<b>Исмаилова Ф.М.</b>	131
Изучение распределения основных типов растительных сообществ на территории ГНПП «Буйратай»	
<i>Studying the distribution of the main types of plant communities on the territory of the Buyratau State National Natural Park</i>	
<b>Ишмуратова М.Ю., Тлеуkenова С.У., Гаврилькова Е.А.</b>	137
Современный список редких и исчезающих растений флоры Карагандинской области	
<i>Modern list of rare and endangered plants of flora of the Karaganda region</i>	
<b>Кәдірбек А.Ж., Нұрекина О.А.</b>	142
Өсімдіктердің есу және дамуына дубильді заттардың әсерін зерттеу	
<i>Study of the influence of dubile substances on the growth and development of plants</i>	
<b>Konybayeva D.T., Myrzabayeva M.T., Gorbulya V.S., Suyundikova Zh.T.</b>	145
Expansion paths of decorative and flower culture in the composition of the urban flora of Astana city	
<i>Пути расширения декоративной и цветочной культуры в составе городской флоры города Астаны</i>	
<b>Курбанбаева Ж.Д., Тлеубергенова Г.С., Галактионова Е.В.</b>	150
Анализ жизненных форм растений бересовых лесов Кызылжарского района Северо-Казахстанской области	
<i>Analysis of life forms of flora of birch forests in the Kyzylzhar district of the North Kazakhstan region</i>	
<b>Лиу Ю., Шибистова О.Б., Гуггенбергер Г.</b>	156
Влияние стехиометрии доступных биогенных элементов на ферментативную активность степной почвы Северного Казахстана	
<i>Effect of the stoichiometry of available nutrients on the enzymatic activity of steppe soil of Northern Kazakhstan</i>	
<b>Матецкая А.Ю., Скиба Ю.А., Хорошавина А.В., Ерёменко М.М.</b>	160
Изучение ценопопуляций <i>Bellevalia speciosa</i> Woronow ex Grossh. (Asparagaceae) в Ростовской области	
<i>Study of cenopopulations of Bellevalia speciosa Woronow ex Grossh. (Asparagaceae) in Rostov region</i>	
<b>Премина Н.В.</b>	167
Лилия саранка- краснокнижный вид Западно-Алтайского заповедника	
<i>Lilia saranka is a red-book species of the West Altai Nature Reserve</i>	
<b>Рожков Ю.Ф., Кондакова М.Ю.</b>	171
Мониторинг состояния лесных экосистем Олекминского заповедника с использованием космических снимков высокого и сверхвысокого разрешения	
<i>Monitoring the state of forest ecosystems of Olekminsky Reserve using high-resolution and ultra-high resolution satellite images</i>	
<b>Салмуханбетова Ж.К., Димеева Л.А.</b>	179
Обзор полезных растений Северного Приаралья	
<i>Overview of useful plants of the Northern Aral Sea region</i>	

**Турабжанова М.Б. 182**

Изучение урожайности кедра на территории Западно-Алтайского заповедника  
*Study of cedar yield on the territory of the West Altai Nature Reserve*

**ФАУНА МЕН ЖАНУАРЛАР ӘЛЕМІН ЗЕРТТЕУ ЖӘНЕ САҚТАУ**

**ИЗУЧЕНИЕ И СОХРАНЕНИЕ ФАУНЫ И ЖИВОТНОГО МИРА**

**STUDY AND CONSERVATION OF FAUNA AND WILDLIFE**

**Алиясова В.Н., Тарасовская Н.Е. 188**

Плейстоценовые хищные (Carnivora) Павлодарского прииртышья  
*Pleistocene Carnivora of the Pavlodar irtysh region*

**Амангельдиева Қ.А., Нүрекина О.А. 190**

Қостанай облысының дәнді дақылдарының зиянды жәндіктері  
*Harmful insects of grain crops of Kostanay region*

**Байбусенов К.С. 194**

Экологизированные системы защиты рапса от основных насекомых-вредителей для снижения риска природному биоразнообразию  
*Ecologized systems for the protection of rapeseed from major insect pests to reduce the risk to natural biodiversity*

**Байтелиева А.М., Азатов Н.М. 200**

Современные методы мониторинга краснокнижников Felidae Казахстана.  
*Modern methods of monitoring the red book Felidae of Kazakhstan.*

**Батряков Р.Р. 205**

Летнее население гусеобразных птиц на водоемах Наурзумского заповедника в 2018-2023 гг.  
*Summer population of Anseriformes bird species on the lakes of the Naurzum Nature Reserve in 2018-2023.*

**Брагин А.Е.<sup>1</sup>, Катцнер Т.<sup>2</sup>, Брагин Е.А.<sup>3</sup> 212**

Динамика гнездовой группировки степного орла в Актюбинской области в 2018-2023 годах  
*Dynamics of the nesting group of the steppe eagle in Actobe region in 2018-2023*

**Брагина Т.М., Тарасенко Е.Л. 217**

Конкурентные группы диких опылителей медоносной пчелы карпатской породы (*Apis mellifera carpathica* Avetisyan, Gubin, Davidenco, 1966).

*Competitive groups of wild pollinators of the carpathian honey bee (Apis mellifera carpathica Avetisyan, Gubin, Davidenco, 1966).*

**Габдуллина А.У., Кадырбеков Р.Х. 221**

Дополнение к фауне жуков-усачей (Coleoptera, Cerambycidae) Катон-Карагайского государственного национального природного парка

*Addition to the fauna of longhorn beetles (Coleoptera, Cerambycidae) of the Katon-Karagai State National Natural Park*

**Дудкин С.И. 223**

Донское запретное пространство в системе сохранения биоразнообразия и ресурсного потенциала Нижнего Дона и Азовского моря

*The Don forbidden space in the system of conservation of biodiversity and resource potential of the Lower Don and the Azov sea*

**Егинбаева А.Е., Atasoy Е., Тулегенова А.Е. 228**

Бесқарағай ауданының жануарлар дүниесінің географиялық атаулардағы көрінісі  
*Description of the animal world in the geographical names of the Beskaragai district*

**Есенбекова П.А., Кенжегалиев А.М. 233**

Солтүстік Тянь-Шань Ұзынқара шатқалы жартылай қаттықанаттылары (Hemiptera, Heteroptera)

*Hemiptera (Heteroptera) of the gorge Uzynkara of the Northern Tien Shan*

<b>Забашта А.В.</b>	239
Обитание индийского дикобраза <i>Hystrix indica</i> в Восточном Предкавказье во второй половине XVIII века	
<i>The habitat of the indian porcupine <i>Hystrix indica</i> in the Eastern Caucasus in the second half of the XVIII century</i>	
<b>Златанов Б.В., Айтжанова М.О.</b>	242
Заметки по фауне и экологии мух-журчалок (Diptera, Syrphidae) Заилийского Алатау (Юго-Восточный Казахстан).	
<i>Notes on the fauna and ecology of hoverflies (Diptera, Syrphidae) of the Zailiyskiy Alatau (South-Eastern Kazakhstan)</i>	
<b>Kaczensky P., Salemgareyev A., Linnell J. D. C., Zuther S., Walzer Ch., Huber N., Petit Th.</b>	248
Post-release movement behaviour and survival of kulan reintroduced to the central steppes of Kazakhstan	
<i>Передвижение после выпуска и выживание кулана, восстановленного в центральных степях Казахстана</i>	
<b>Ковшарь В.А.</b>	260
Редкие и особо-охраняемые виды птиц резервата «Иле-Балхаш»	
<i>Rare and protected bird species of the Ile-Balkhash reserve</i>	
<b>Кулиш А.В., Моисеенко О.И.</b>	266
Найдены новых видов Decapoda в акватории Опукского природного заповедника (Крым, Россия)	
<i>Finding new species of Decapoda in the water area of Opuksky Nature Reserve (Crimea, Russia)</i>	
<b>Құрметбек Т., Саримсакова А.А., Нурушев М.Ж.</b>	270
Ақбөкендердің ( <i>Saiga tatarica</i> ) популяциясын ату туралы заңнама қаншалықты тиімді?	
<i>How effective is the legislation on the shooting of the saiga (<i>Saiga tatarica</i>) population?</i>	
<b>Ли Н.Г.</b>	273
Макрофизиологический подход в исследовании биоразнообразия эктотермных организмов (обзор)	
<i>Macrophysiologcal approach in studying the biodiversity of ectotherm organisms</i>	
<b>Липкович А.Д.</b>	279
Редкие виды околоводных птиц на территории государственного природного биосферного заповедника «Ростовский», его охранной зоны и сопредельных водоемах	
<i>Rare species of waterbirds on the territory of the Rostovsky State Nature Biosphere Reserve, its protected zone and adjacent water bodies</i>	
<b>Надолинский Р.В., Надолинский В.П., Дудкин С.И.</b>	282
Влияние изменения солёности на видовой состав и численность ихтиопланктона Таганрогского залива Азовского моря	
<i>Influence of salinity changes on species composition and the number of ichthyoplankton in the Gulf of Taganrog of the Azov Sea</i>	
<b>Небесихина Н.А., Гогуа М.Л.</b>	288
Размерно-возрастная и генетическая структура ручьевой форели ( <i>Salmo trutta</i> ) бассейна реки Бзып	
<i>Size-age and genetic structure of brook trout (<i>Salmo trutta</i>) of the Bzyp river basin</i>	
<b>Попов А.В., Брагина Т.М.</b>	294
Видовой состав и структура уловов рыб в модельных водоёмах Узункольского района Костанайской области	
<i>The species composition and structure of fish catches in the model reservoirs of the Uzunkol District of the Kostanay Region</i>	
<b>Пришутова З.Г.</b>	298
Жужелицы зональных степных сообществ заповедника «Ростовский»	
<i>Ground beetles of zonal steppe communities of the Rostovsky Reserve</i>	

<b>Саенек Е.М., Белорусцева С.А., Котов С.В.</b>	<b>302</b>
Состояние популяции раков Веселовского водохранилища <i>The state of the population of crayfish in the Veselovsky reservoir</i>	
<b>Сакбаев Д.Н., Жақсыбаев М.Б., Есенбекова П.А.</b>	<b>307</b>
Алматы қаласы Баум тогайы қоңыздарының (Coleoptera) алуантурлілігі <i>Biodiversity of Coleoptera Bauma Grove Almaty city</i>	
<b>Синявская (Килякова) В.С., Тихонов А.В.</b>	<b>314</b>
Новые встречи серого хомячка и степной мышовки, мышовки Штранда и темной мышовки на территории Ростовской области <i>New encounters of the gray dwarf hamster and the southern birch mouse, the Strand's birch mouse and the Severtzov's birch mouse on the territory of the Rostov region</i>	
<b>Тарасовская Н.Е., Клименко М.Ю., Гаврилова Т.В., Алиясова В.Н.</b>	<b>317</b>
Использование продуктов пчеловодства для консервации костных экспонатов в полевых условиях <i>Using of polymeric materials for the conservation of archeological and paleontological bone exhibits</i>	
<b>Тарасовская Н.Е., Клименко М.Ю.</b>	<b>322</b>
Сезонная динамика показателей зараженности гельминтами остромордой лягушки во влажные и засушливые годы <i>Seasonal dynamics of infection indicators by helminthes in moor frog in moist and dry years</i>	
<b>Тарасовская Н.Е., Клименко М.Ю.</b>	<b>328</b>
Спектральный анализ мышечных тканей охотничье промысловых животных Павлодарской области <i>X-ray analysis of hunting and commercial animals' muscle tissue from Pavlodar region</i>	
<b>Тастайбаева А.А.</b>	<b>335</b>
Биотопическое распределение наиболее распространенных саранчовых в Наурзумском заповеднике и на сопредельных территориях <i>Biotopic distribution of the most common locusts in the Naurzum nature reserve and adjacent territories</i>	
<b>Timonen S.</b>	<b>340</b>
The migration ecology of finnish black-tailed godwits ( <i>Limosa limosa</i> ) <i>Миграционная экология финских больших веретенников (<i>Limosa limosa</i>)</i>	
<b>Чаликова Е.С.</b>	<b>344</b>
Птицы Сунгинского участка Сырдарья-Туркестанского природного парка <i>Birds of the Sunga section of the Syrdarya-Turkestan Natural Park</i>	
<b>Чередников С.Ю.</b>	<b>351</b>
Биоразнообразие ихтиофауны в запретном рыбном пространстве и сопредельной акватории дельты Дона <i>Biodiversity of ichthyofauna in the forbidden space and adjacent water area of the Don estuary</i>	
<b>Шупова Т.В.</b>	<b>355</b>
Лесопарки мегаполиса в системе сохранения видового разнообразия сообществ гнездящихся птиц <i>Forest parks of the metropolis in the system of conservation of diversity of nesting birds communities</i>	

**БІЛІМ БЕРУ ПӘНДЕРІНДЕГІ БИОЛОГИЯЛЫҚ ӘРТҮРЛІЛІК ЖӘНЕ ЕРЕКШЕ  
ҚОРҒАЛАТЫН ТАБИҒИ АУМАҚТАР ТУРАЛЫ МАТЕРИАЛДАР**

**МАТЕРИАЛЫ О БИОЛОГИЧЕСКОМ РАЗНООБРАЗИИ И ОСОБО ОХРАНЯЕМЫХ  
ПРИРОДНЫХ ТЕРРИТОРИЯХ В ОБРАЗОВАТЕЛЬНЫХ ДИСЦИПЛИНАХ**

**MATERIALS ON BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY AND SPECIALLY PROTECTED NATURAL  
TERRITORIES IN EDUCATIONAL DISCIPLINES**

<b>Астанина Л.А.</b>	<b>361</b>
Биоразнообразие в призме химического загрязнения <i>Biodiversity in the lens of chemical pollution</i>	
<b>Баубекова Г.К., Омарова К.И., Коваль В.В, Суюндикова Ж.Т.</b>	<b>364</b>
Экологизация в школьном курсе «География» <i>Ecologization in the school course "Geography"</i>	
<b>Белан О.Р.</b>	<b>370</b>
Проблемное обучение в экологическом образовании студентов вузов <i>Problem-based learning in environmental education for university students</i>	
<b>Брагина Т.М., Рулёва М.М.</b>	<b>373</b>
Жуки-щелкуны как удобный объект знакомства с местной фауной <i>Click beetles as a convenient object for exploring the local fauna</i>	
<b>Брагина Т.М., Сатмухамбетова Г.А.</b>	<b>377</b>
Изучение опасных видов длинноусых двукрылых в курсе школьной программы <i>The study of dangerous species of long-whiskered dipterans in the course of the school curriculum</i>	
<b>Жигадло О.А., Брагина Т.М.</b>	<b>384</b>
Модельные виды розоцветных как удобный объект изучения растительного мира в образовательном процессе <i>Model species of Rosaceae as a convenient object of studying the plant world in the educational process</i>	
<b>Кожухаметова А.С., Божекенова Ж.Т.</b>	<b>390</b>
Жүйелік-белсенділік тәсілін пайдалана отырып биологиялық пәндерді оқытуды ұымдастыру <i>Organization of teaching biological disciplines using a system-activity approach</i>	
<b>Нурушев М. Ж., Дарибай Т. О., Хуанбай Ж., Нурушев Д. А.</b>	<b>395</b>
Актуальность специальности «Биологические ресурсы» в образовательном процессе Республики Казахстан <i>Relevance of the specialty "Biological resources" in the educational process of the Republic of Kazakhstan</i>	
<b>Ручкина Г.А., Черняевская О.М.</b>	<b>402</b>
Организация работы студентов на лабораторно-практических занятиях естественно-научных дисциплин <i>Organization of student work in laboratory and practical classes in natural science disciplines</i>	

**Қостанай мемлекеттік педагогикалық институтының құрметті профессоры,  
биология ғылымдарының докторы Т.М. Брагинаның мерейтойына арналған  
БИОЛОГИЯЛЫҚ ӘРТҮРЛІЛІКТІ САҚТАУ ЖӘНЕ ЕРЕКШЕ  
ҚОРҒАЛАТЫН ТАБИҒИ АУМАҚТАР ЖЕЛІСІН ДАМЫТУ атты  
ХАЛЫҚАРАЛЫҚ ҒЫЛЫМИ-ПРАКТИКАЛЫҚ КОНФЕРЕНЦИЯНЫҢ  
МАТЕРИАЛДАРЫ**

**МАТЕРИАЛЫ  
МЕЖДУНАРОДНОЙ НАУЧНО-ПРАКТИЧЕСКОЙ КОНФЕРЕНЦИИ  
СОХРАНЕНИЕ БИОЛОГИЧЕСКОГО РАЗНООБРАЗИЯ И РАЗВИТИЕ СЕТИ  
ОСОБО ОХРАНЯЕМЫХ ПРИРОДНЫХ ТЕРРИТОРИЙ,  
посвященной юбилею почетного профессора Костанайского государственного  
педагогического института, доктора биологических наук Т.М. Брагиной**

**PROCEEDINGS  
OF THE INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH AND TRAINING CONFERENCE  
«CONSERVATION OF BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY AND DEVELOPMENT  
OF THE NETWORK OF SPECIALLY PROTECTED NATURAL AREAS»,  
dedicated to the anniversary of the honorary professor of the Kostanay  
state pedagogical institute, doctor of biological sciences T.M. Bragina**

---

---

Басуға 2024 ж. 21.02. берілді.  
Пішімі 60x84/8. Көлемі 32,0 б.т. Тапсырыс № 016.

Подписано в печать 21.02.2024  
Формат 60x84/8. Объем 32,0 п.л. Заказ № 016.

Ахмет Байтұрсынұлы атындағы  
Қостанай өнірлік университетіндегі  
Редакциялық-баспа бөлімінде басылған

Қазақстан Республикасы, 110000,  
Қостанай қ., Байтұрсынұлы қ., 47

Отпечатано в редакционно-издательском отделе  
Костанайского регионального университета  
имени Ахмет Байтұрсынұлы

Республика Казахстан, 110000,  
г. Костанай, ул. Байтурсынова, 47